CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS AND CYTOTOXIC

ACTIVITY OF Smallanthus maculatus

Maria Yolanda Rios, and Ismael Leon

UDC 547.913.831

Smallanthus maculatus (Cav) H. Rob. (Asteraceae, nomenclatural synonym: *Polymnia maculata* Cav. Icon. [1, 2]) is used by the Highlands Mayas of Chiapas, Mexico, for the treatment of gastrointestinal diseases [3]. To our knowledge, no phytochemical investigation has been reported on *S. maculatus*; however, from *P. maculata* has been isolated *ent*-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid, kauradiene-9,16-dioic acid, polymatin A, polymatin B, polymatin C [4], maculatin [5], melampodin D, and 9-desacetoxymelcanthin F [6]; consequently, these are chemical constituents of *S. maculatus* in reality. In our hands, the acetone extract from the aerial parts of *S. maculatus* was cytotoxically active (ED₅₀ = 17.0 µg/mL against HCT-15 COLADCAR and ED₅₀ = 18.4 µg/mL against OVCAR-5). Their bioguided analysis yield two active fractions: F-4 (ED₅₀ = 17.4 µg/mL against HCT-15 COLADCAR, ED₅₀ = 15.5 µg/mL against UISO-SQC-1 and ED₅₀ = 19.5 µg/mL against OVCAR-5) and F-5 (ED₅₀ = 7.2 µg/mL against HCT-15 COLADCAR, ED₅₀ = 16.2 µg/mL against KB, ED₅₀ = 4.0 µg/mL against UISO-SQC-1 and ED₅₀ = 3.0 µg/mL against OVCAR-5), both composed of the mixture of *ent*-kaur-16-en-19-ioc acid and *ent*-kaur-15-en-19-ioc acid [7], 15 α -angeloyloxy-*ent*-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid [7], 12 α -hydroxy-*ent*-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid [8], and ursolic acid (1, [9, 10]) in different proportions. The cytotoxic analysis of these natural products showed that 1 is a unique cytotoxic compound from this plant (ED₅₀ = 3.7 µg/mL against HCT-15 COLADCAR, ED₅₀ = 3.4 µg/mL against UISO-SQC-1, and ED₅₀ = 3.6 µg/mL against OVCAR-5). Compound 1 has been previously isolated from several species and its antitumor activity has been documented in mouse and human cell lines [10] as well as *in vivo* induced mice skin cancer [11].

The aerial parts of S. maculatus were collected in Rancho Merced Bason, Huixtan, Chiapas, Mexico and were identified by M. C. Abigail Aguilar. A voucher specimen (number 12700) was deposited at the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social Herbarium (IMSSH, Mexico City). The air-dried aerial parts (1.3 kg) were powdered and extracted by maceration at room temperature with acetone (10 L \times 3) and concentrated to dryness in vacuum to obtain 52.6 g of a syrup residue, which was adsorbed on 53 g of silica gel and chromatographed on open CC over silica gel 60 (400 g), using a gradient of n-hexane:acetone as eluent. The composition of the fractions obtained (400 mL each) was monitored by TLC, visualizing the compounds by spraying with a 1% solution of CeSO₄·NH₃ in H₂SO₄ 2N. The chromatographically identical fractions were combined, yielding six mixtures of compounds: F-1 [3.2 g, n-hexane 100%], F-2 [6.1 g, n-hexane-acetone (95:05)], F-3 [1.4 g, n-hexane-acetone (95:05)], F-4 [4.8 g, n-hexane-acetone (90:10)], F-5 [3.2 g, n-hexane-acetone (80:20)], and F-6 [2.0 g, n-hexane-acetone (60:40)]. The natural products were isolated by means of successive chromatographic process, F-1: caryophyllene β -oxide, F-2: triacontanol and stigmasterol, F-3: stigmasterol, ent-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid and ent-kaur-15-en-19-oic acid, F-4: ent-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid, ent-kaur-15-en-19-oic acid, 15α -angeloyloxy-ent-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid, 12α -hydroxy-ent-kauren-19-oic acid, and ursolic acid (1), F-5: 12α -hydroxy-ent-kauren-19-oic acid and 1 and F-6: β -sitosteryl β -D-glucopyranoside, stigmasteryl β-D-glucopyranoside and sucrose. All these compounds were identified by comparison of their IR, ¹H, and ¹³C NMR data with those previously reported. The cytotoxic activity of the extract, fractions, and pure compounds was accomplished according to the previously described procedure [12].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are indebted to Enrique Salazar Leyva for technical assistance. This research was supported by CONACyT (Project No. 40405).

Centro de Investigaciones Quimicas de la Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Morelos, Mexico, fax: + 52 (777) 329-7997, e-mail: myolanda@uaem.mx. Published in Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 4, p. 398, July-August, 2006. Original article submitted August 1, 2005.

REFERENCES

- 1. H. Robinson, *Smithsonian Contrib. Bot.*, **51**, 38 (1981).
- 2. E. Berlin and B. Berlin, *Ethnobiology of the Highland Maya of Chiapas, Mexico. The Gastrointestinal Diseases*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1996.
- 3. N. LeVan and N. H. Fischer, *Phytochemistry*, **18**, 851 (1979).
- 4. W. Herz and S. V. Bhat, *Phytochemistry*, **12**, 1737 (1973).
- 5. A. J. Malcolm and N. H. Fischer, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **50**, 167 (1987).
- 6. N. Ohno, T. J. Mabry, V. Zabel, and W. H. Watson, *Phytochemistry*, **18**, 1687 (1979).
- 7. J. Jakupovic, A. Schuster, F. Bohlmann, U. Ganzer, R. M. King, and H. Robinson, *Phytochemistry*, **28**, 543 (1989).
- 8. P. J. Houghton and L. M. Lian, *Phytochemistry*, **25**, 1939 (1986).
- 9. A. Numata, P. Yang, C. Takahashi, R. Fujiki, M. Nabae, and E. Fujita, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 37, 648 (1989).
- 10. K. S. Hoon, A. Byung-Zun, and R. S. Yong, *Phytotherapy Res.*, **12**, 553 (1998).
- 11. H. M. Tuan, H. C. Tang, W. Z. Yuan, F. Thomas, L. Y. Rong, S. Kathe, M. Wei, G. Constantino, L. Jeffrey, and C. Allan, *Cancer Res.*, **54**, 701 (1994).
- 12. J. Popoca, A. Aguilar, D. Alonso, and M. L. Villarreal, J. Etnopharmacol., 59, 173 (1998).